arXiv:1511.02004v2 [gr-qc] 13 Nov 2015

Black hole entropy arising from massless scalar field with Lorentz violation induced by the coupling to Einstein tensor

Songbai Chen*, Jiliang Jing†, Hao Liao
Institute of Physics and Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, People's Republic of China
Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Quantum Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, People's Republic of China
Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects and Applications, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, People's Republic of China
State Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics China, CAS, Beijing 100190,China

Abstract

We have investigated quantum entropy of a static black hole arising from the massless scalar field with Lorentz violation induced by the coupling to Einstein tensor. Our results show that the coupled massless scalar field contributes to the classical Bekenstein-Hawking term in the black hole entropy. The corrected classical Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is not one quarter of the event horizon area of the original background black hole, but of a corresponding effective metric related to the coupling. It means that the classical Bekenstein-Hawking entropy depends not only on the black hole parameter, but also on the coupling which reduces Lorentz violation.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy, 95.30.Sf, 97.60.Lf

Black hole entropy, which was first introduced by Bekenstein and widely accepted after Hawking's discovery of the black hole thermal radiation [1–3], plays an important role in the modern physics because it is connected with gravity, quantum theory, thermodynamics and statistics. However, the exact physical origin of the black hole entropy and the degrees of freedom counted for the entropy are still unclear. The resolutions of such fundamental problems are extremely important for black hole physics. To obtain a microscopic statistical interpretation to the black hole entropy, 't Hooft [4] proposed the "brick wall" model in which the black hole entropy is identified with the statistical-mechanical entropy which arises from a thermal bath of quantum fields propagating outside the event horizon. In this model, two extra "brick wall" cut-off factors h and L are introduced to eliminate the ultraviolet divergence near the event horizon and the infrared divergences at the spatial infinite, respectively. It is equivalent to imposing Dirichlet boundary condition on the boundary. Combining with the lowest order (in terms of $\hbar$) Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) approximation, one can find that the quantum corrected entropy of a black hole is approximated as

$$S=\frac{A_H}{48\pi\epsilon^2}+\mathcal{G}(A_H)\log\frac{\Lambda}{\epsilon}, \tag{1}$$

where quantities ϵ and Λ are related to the cut-off factors h and L, respectively. The factor $\mathcal{G}(A_H)$ is a function of the event horizon area A_H. It means that quantum entropy of a black hole contains a usual Bekenstein-Hawking term and a logarithmic correction term. The former can be expressed as one quarter of the event horizon area by the renormalization of the Newton gravity constant, which is independent of the quantum fields propagating in the spacetime. The latter describes the contribution of quantum fields to the black hole entropy, which depends heavily on the behaviors of the quantum fields and the properties of the background spacetime. Both of them have the factor of the event horizon area, which means that black hole entropy possesses the geometric character. Thus, there's no doubt that the "brick wall" model reveals some remarkable features of quantum entropy of black holes. Meanwhile, it is worth to note that as a semiclassical approach, the "brick wall" model has some drawback. For example, it has to impose two cut-off factors to eliminate the divergence near the event horizon and at the spatial infinite, which results in that the "brick wall" entropy depends on the cut-off scale. Moreover, due to adopting the lowest order WKB approximation, densities of the states and canonical entropy of the matter fields are usually evaluated with no higher precision. However, it is obvious that one can obtain the area law of Bekenstein-Hawking entropy through the "brick wall" model. Thus, this approximate model has been extensively used to compute the leading order terms in the black hole entropy for different theories of gravity.

In cosmology, it is well known that the extra inter-

*csb3752@hunnu.edu.cn
†jljing@hunnu.edu.cn

action terms are introduced in the Lagrange action to explain the accelerating expansion of the current Universe. It is widely believed that the accelerating expansion of the Universe confirmed by the current observations [5–8] will exert a profound influence upon the modern cosmology and theory of gravity. One of the most interesting interactions is the coupling between scalar field and the Einstein tensor, which is described by the term $G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi$ [9]. The attractive features of such theory are that the derivative coupling term can provide not only a mechanism to explain the accelerating expansion of the current Universe, but also a mechanism to solve naturally the problem of a graceful exit from inflation without any fine-tuned potential in the early Universe [9–13]. Moreover, one can find that in this theory the equation of motion of scalar field is still a second-order differential equation, which means that it is a "good" dynamical theory [9].

From the point of view of physics, a good theoretical model in cosmology should be examined by black hole physics since black hole is another fascinating aspect in the modern theories of gravity. Thus, it is very necessary to study such coupling theory in black hole physics. We [14] studied the dynamical evolution for a scalar field coupling to Einstein's tensor in the background of a Reissner-Nordström black hole and found a distinct behavior of the coupled scalar field that the growing modes appear as the coupling constant is larger than a certain threshold value. Moreover, Minamitsuji [15] investigated such coupling theoretical model in Braneworlds and the scalar-tensor theory, and found that a new phase transition from a Reissner-Nordström black hole to a hairy black hole takes place in asymptotically flat spacetime because the Abelian $U(1)$ gauge symmetry is broken in the vicinity of the black hole horizon when the coupling constant is large enough [16–18]. These results have attracted more attention on the investigations of the full properties for such special coupling model in the black hole physics.

Since the coupling term modifies the behaviors of scalar fields propagating in the spacetime, it is expected that the coupling will affect black hole entropy. However, unlike in the usual case, the coupling between massless scalar field and Einstein tensor changes the dispersion relations and the maximum propagation velocity of the field, which yields the coupled massless scalar field does not satisfy Lorentz invariance again. It means that Lorentz invariance is broken as the scalar field couples to Einstein tensor. Recently, Lorentz violation has been investigated extensively in different theoretical models because its existence will have a great influence on the fundamental physics and yield that many subjects are need to be reconsidered (see [19] for a review). Therefore, it is quite necessary to study quantum entropy of black hole arising from the massless scalar field with Lorentz violation induced by the coupling to Einstein tensor. However, the appearance of Lorentz violation make the calculation of black hole entropy more complicated. Firstly, for the coupled massless scalar field, the position of the event horizon of a black hole is not overlapped again with that for the light because its propagation velocity in the background spacetime is not equal to the speed of light. Moreover, the usual method for calculating quantum entropy of black hole arising material field is invalid because the statistical mechanics must be corrected in the case with Lorentz violation [20]. Fortunately, such Lorentz violation induced by the coupling to Einstein tensor can be regarded formally as Lorentz invariance in another effective metric, where the coupled massless scalar field in the original background spacetime can be looked as a free scalar field propagating with the speed of "light". Thus, in the effective metric, Lorentz invariance is guaranteed formally for the scalar field and calculating quantum entropy of a black hole is still available. Especially, the effective metric as a methodology has been applied widely in the non-linear dynamics including moving fluids, Bose-Einstein condensates, superfluids, nonlinear electromagnetism, and so on (see [21] for a review). In this Letter, we apply effective metric to study the black hole entropy arising from massless scalar field with Lorentz violation induced by the coupling to Einstein tensor. Our results show that with Lorentz violation the coupled massless scalar field contributes to the classical Bekenstein-Hawking term in black hole entropy. The corrected classical Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is not one quarter of the event horizon area of the original background black hole, but of a corresponding effective metric. It means that the classical Bekenstein-Hawking entropy depends not only on the black hole parameter, but also on the coupling which gives rise to Lorentz violation.

In the standard coordinate, the metric for a static black hole spacetime can be expressed as

$$ds^2 = -fdt^2 + \frac{1}{f}dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2 + r^2\sin^2\theta d\phi^2. \quad (2)$$

Here f is a function of the polar coordinate r, which can be written as $f = H(r)(r - r_H)$ for a non-extremal static black hole spacetime and $H(r)$ is a continuous function of r. The quantity r_H is event horizon radius of a black hole. The Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ for the metric (2) has a form

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -a(r)f & & & \\ & \frac{a(r)}{f} & & \\ & & -b(r)r^2 & \\ & & & -b(r)r^2\sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

with

$$a(r) = \frac{1 - f - f'r}{r^2}, \qquad b(r) = \frac{f''r + 2f'}{2r}, \quad (4)$$

where the prime $'$ denotes the derivative with respect to r.

The action of a massless scalar field coupling to Einstein tensor in the curve spacetime can be described by

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[\frac{R}{16\pi G}+\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi +\frac{\alpha}{2}G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\Big], \quad (5)$$

where the term $\frac{\alpha}{2}G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi$ represents the coupling between scalar field ψ and Einstein tensor $G^{\mu\nu}$. The factor α is a coupling constant with dimensions of length squared. Varying the action (5) with respect to ψ , one can obtain the corrected wave equation of a scalar field coupling to Einstein tensor

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu[\sqrt{-g}(g^{\mu\nu}+\alpha G^{\mu\nu})\partial_\nu\psi]=0. \quad (6)$$

Separating $\psi = e^{-iEt}\Psi(r)Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$, we obtain the radial equation for the coupled scalar field in the static black hole spacetime (2)

$$\Big[1+\alpha a(r)\Big]f^{-1}E^2\Psi(r)+\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\Big[r^2 f\Big(1+\alpha a(r)\Big)\frac{d\Psi(r)}{dr}\Big]-\Big(1-\alpha b(r)\Big)\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\Psi(r)=0. \quad (7)$$

The radial equation (7) can be reduced to that in the usual case of the scalar field without coupling to Einstein tensor as the coupling constant $\alpha\to 0$. Here, we consider only the case with $a(r)\neq -b(r)$ because when $a(r)=-b(r)$ the coupling does not affect actually the radial equation of the scalar field propagating in the spacetime under WKB approximation. For the case with $a(r)\neq -b(r)$, the emergence of the coupling constant α in the radial equation will change the dynamical behavior of the scalar field and affect the quantum entropy of the black hole. However, after some careful analysis, we find that in this case the coupling term modifies the dispersion relations of the massless scalar field, which results in that the propagation velocity of the massless scalar field in the background spacetime becomes

$$v_{sc} = \frac{dl_0}{d\tau}=\sqrt{\frac{g_{rr}p^rp^r+g_{\theta\theta}p^\theta p^\theta+g_{\phi\phi}p^\phi p^\phi}{-g_{tt}p^tp^t}} \approx \sqrt{1-\frac{\alpha[a(r)+b(r)]l(l+1)}{[1-\alpha b(r)]r^2E^2}}. \quad (8)$$

Here l_0 and τ are the proper distance and time in the background spacetime, respectively. $p_\mu=\frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda}$ is the momentum of the scalar field where λ is the affine parameter. For the case $a(r)\neq -b(r)$, one can find that the velocity of a coupled massless scalar field propagating in the spacetime depends on the coupling strength between the field and Einstein tensor. The coupled massless scalar field is superluminal for $\alpha<0$ and is subluminal for $\alpha>0$. It is well known that the usual event horizon of black hole is defined originally by the null hypersurface from which the light can not be escaped, which implies that the event horizon for the light is not coincide with the event horizons for the superluminal or subluminal particles. The modification of the dispersion relations and the appearance of superluminal phenomenon means that Lorentz invariance is broken for the massless scalar field coupling to Einstein tensor. It implies that the usual method for calculating quantum entropy of black hole arising material field is invalid because the statistical mechanics must be corrected in the case with the broken Lorentz invariance [20].

Although the propagation velocity of the scalar field coupling to Einstein tensor is not the speed of light in the original static black hole spacetime (2), we find that it can propagate with "light" velocity in another effective spacetime with the metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, which is defined by rewriting the action (5) as

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi+\frac{\alpha}{2}G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\right] = \int d^4x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\right]. \quad (9)$$

In other words, the coupled massless scalar field in the original background spacetime $g_{\mu\nu}$ (2) can be regarded as a free scalar field in the effective spacetime with $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, which means that the Lorentz invariance is guaranteed formally in this effective spacetime and then the usual method for calculating quantum entropy of black hole is still valid in this case. The effective metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ can be expressed as

$$ds^2=-[1-\alpha b(r)]fdt^2+[1-\alpha b(r)]\frac{1}{f}dr^2 +[1+\alpha a(r)]r^2d\theta^2+[1+\alpha a(r)]r^2\sin^2\theta d\phi^2. \quad (10)$$

Obviously, the effective metric is quite different from the original one. Due to its dependence on the coupling constant α, the effective metric coefficients are different for the coupled scalar fields with different coupling strength. From the definition of the null hypersurface $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial x^\nu}=0$, it is easy to find that the event horizon radius for the scalar field satisfies $\tilde{g}^{rr}=\frac{f}{1-\alpha b(r)}=0$ and is still $r=r_H$ in the static effective spacetime (10). However, the area of the event horizon is modified in this case, which could change the properties of the black hole entropy. With this effective metric, it is convenient for us to make a comparison among quantum entropy for the scalar field with different coupling parameter. From the quantity $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}=\frac{\mathcal{R}(r)}{[1+\alpha a(r)]^4[1-\alpha b(r)]^6r^4}$ (where $\mathcal{R}(r)$ is a function of r), we can find that there exist two extra singularities which is caused entirely by the coupling between the scalar field and Einstein tensor

in the original background spacetime. Considering that the scalar field should propagate continuously in the region outside the event horizon, we make a constraint condition that two singularities lie inside the event horizon r_H so that they do not affect the propagation of the scalar field in the spacetime $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$.

From Eq.(9), one can find that the equation of motion of a coupled mass scalar field can be rewritten as the form of a free Klein-Gordon equation in the effective spacetime

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}}\partial_\mu[\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}(\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu})\partial_\nu\psi] = 0. \tag{11}$$

Separating the variable $\psi = e^{-iEt}\Psi(r)Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$ and inserting the effective metric (10) into equation (11), we find that the radial equation has the same form with the previous radial equation (7). However, the Lorentz invariance is guaranteed in this case and we can compute the quantum entropy of black hole by usual 't Hooft "brick-wall" method [4]. From the radial equation (7), one can obtain the r-dependent radial wavenumber

$$\begin{aligned} k^2(r,l,E) &\equiv p_r^2 = f^{-2}\left[E^2 - f\left(\frac{p_\theta^2}{g_{\theta\theta}} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{g_{\phi\phi}}\right)\right] \\ &= f^{-2}\left[E^2 - f\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\left(\frac{1-\alpha b(r)}{1+\alpha a(r)}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From the "brick-wall" model, one can find that the boundary conditions of the scalar field $\Psi(r)$ are

$$\Psi(r_H + h) = 0, \qquad \Psi(L) = 0, \tag{13}$$

where $h \ll r_H$ and $L \gg r_H$. Here h and L are the brick-wall cut-off parameters introduced to eliminate the ultraviolet and infrared divergences, respectively. Adopting to the WKB approximation, we have $\Psi(r) \approx e^{iS(r)}$. Here, the solution $\Psi(r)$ is regarded to be stationary with regard respect to the radial variable throughout the spatial manifold and the amplitude is assumed to be a slowly varying function of the radial coordinate r.

According to the semi-classical quantization condition, the total number of radial modes is given by

$$n_r(r,l,E) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{r_H+h}^{L} k(r,l,E)dr. \tag{14}$$

The total number of the modes with energy E can be obtained by integrating over the volume of phase space [22]

$$\begin{aligned} n(E) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int d\theta d\phi \int n_r(r,l,E)dp_\theta dp_\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^3}\int_{r_H+h}^{L} dr \int d\theta d\phi \int k(r,l,E)dp_\theta dp_\phi. \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{r_H+h}^{L} f^{-1}dr \times \\ &\int \sqrt{E^2 - f\left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\left(\frac{1-\alpha b(r)}{1+\alpha a(r)}\right)\right]}(2l+1)dl. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

For an equilibrium ensemble of states of the coupled field, the free energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta F &= \int \ln(1 - e^{-\beta E})dn(E) \\ &= -\beta\int \frac{n(E)}{e^{\beta E}-1}dE. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Substituting the wavenumber (12) and the number of the modes (15) into equation (16), we can obtain the free energy for the coupled scalar field

$$\begin{aligned} F &= -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{r_H+h}^{L} f^{-1}dr \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e^{\beta E}-1}dE \\ &\times \int \sqrt{E^2 - f\left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\left(\frac{1-\alpha b(r)}{1+\alpha a(r)}\right)\right]}(2l+1)dl \\ &= -\frac{2\pi^3}{45\beta^4}\int_{r_H+h}^{L}\frac{r^2}{f^2}\left(\frac{1+\alpha a(r)}{1-\alpha b(r)}\right)dr. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Letting $r = r_H + x$ and ignoring the effect from the boundary L', one can find that the free energy obeys

$$\begin{aligned} F &\approx -\frac{2\pi^3}{45\beta}\int_h^{L'}\frac{(r_H+x)^2[1+\alpha a(r_H+x)]}{x^2 H(r_H+x)^2[1-\alpha b(r_H+x)]}dx \\ &= -\frac{2\pi^3 r_H^2[1+\alpha a(r_H)]}{45\beta^4 H(r_H)^2[1-\alpha b(r_H)]h} + \frac{2\pi^3 r_H}{45\beta^4 H(r_H)^2} \\ &\times \left\{\frac{2[1+\alpha a(r_H)][H(r_H) - r_H H'(r_H)]}{[1-\alpha b(r_H)]H(r_H)}\right. \\ &\left. +\alpha r_H\left[\frac{a(r_H)+b(r_H)}{1-\alpha b(r_H)}\right]'\right\}\ln h. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Then the statistical-mechanical entropy of the black hole arising from the scalar field coupling to Einstein tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \beta^2\frac{\partial F}{\partial\beta}\Big|_{\beta=\beta_H} = \frac{r_H^2 H(r_H)}{360h}\left[\frac{1+\alpha a(r_H)}{1-\alpha b(r_H)}\right] \\ &-\frac{r_H H(r_H)}{360}\left\{\frac{2[H(r_H) - r_H H'(r_H)]}{H(r_H)}\times\right. \\ &\left.\frac{[1+\alpha a(r_H)]}{[1-\alpha b(r_H)]} + \alpha r_H\left[\frac{a(r_H)+b(r_H)}{1-\alpha b(r_H)}\right]'\right\}\ln h. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

For the effective spacetime (10), the surface gravity at the horizon r_H is $\kappa = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-1}{\tilde{g}_{tt}\tilde{g}_{rr}}}\frac{d\tilde{g}_{tt}}{dr}\Big|_{r\to r_H}$ and then $\beta_H = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} = \frac{4\pi}{H(r_H)}$. The proper distance δ from the horizon r_H to the $r_H + h$ becomes

$$\delta = \int_{r_H}^{r_H+h} \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{rr}}dr = 2\sqrt{\frac{[1-\alpha b(r_H)]h}{H(r_H)}}. \quad (20)$$

Defining $\delta^2 = \frac{2\epsilon^2}{15}$, we find the entropy (19) can be rewritten as

$$S = \frac{\tilde{A}_H}{48\pi\epsilon^2} - \frac{r_H H(r_H)}{360}\Bigg\{\frac{2[H(r_H) - r_H H'(r_H)]}{H(r_H)} \times \frac{[1+\alpha a(r_H)]}{[1-\alpha b(r_H)]} + \alpha r_H\left[\frac{a(r_H)+b(r_H)}{1-\alpha b(r_H)}\right]'\Bigg\}\ln h. \quad (21)$$

Here $\tilde{A}_H = \pi r_H^2[1+\alpha a(r_H)]$ is the event horizon area of the effective metric (10) rather than the original one (2), which depends on the coupling between the scalar field and the Einstein tensor. Obviously, the first term in the black hole entropy (21) can be expressed as one quarter of the event horizon area of the effective metric by the renormalization of the Newton gravity constant G. This means that the coupling constant changes not only the logarithmic correction term, but also the classical Bekenstein-Hawking term in black hole entropy, which is quite different from that in the case without the coupling between the scalar field and the Einstein tensor. With increase of the coupling strength, the event horizon area $\tilde{A}_H$ and the black hole entropy increase for the subluminal field with the positive coupling constant and decrease for the superluminal field with the negative one. It can be understood by a fact that the superluminal signal can give us more information from a black hole. Although the form of the corrected Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is very similar to those of some black holes carried certain a "hair", we must point out that the effective metric is *not* a solution of the field equation and the original background black hole *does not* carry any new "hair". Thus, our study do not violate the "no hair" theorem. The non-geometrical terms in the entropy formula originates from the Lorentz violation induced by the coupling to Einstein tensor. The main reason is that Lorentz violation leads to that in the original background spacetime the maximum propagation velocity of the massless scalar field is not the speed of light and then the usual event horizon for light is not again the horizon for the massless scalar field coupling to the Einstein tensor.

Summary, we have studied quantum entropy of a static black hole arising from the massless scalar field with Lorentz violation induced by the coupling to Einstein tensor. Our results show that there exist contributions from the coupled massless scalar field to the classical Bekenstein-Hawking term in black hole entropy. The corrected classical Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is not one quarter of the event horizon area of the original background black hole, but of a corresponding effective metric related to the coupling. It means that the classical Bekenstein-Hawking entropy depends not only on the black hole parameter, but also on the coupling giving rise to Lorentz violation.

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.11275065, No. 11475061, the construct program of the National Key Discipline, and the Open Project Program of State Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China (No.Y5KF161CJ1).

[1] J. D. Bekenstein, Nuovo Cimento Lett. **4**, 737 (1972); J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D. **7**, 2333 (1973).
[2] S. W. Hawking, Nature **248**, 30 (1974); S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).
[3] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3292 (1974).
[4] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B **256**, 727 (1985).
[5] A. G. Riess et al., Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998) ; A. G. Riess et al., Astrophys. J. **659**, 98 (2007).
[6] P. de Bernardis et al., Nature (London) **404**, 955 (2000)
[7] S. Perlmutter et al., Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999); Astrophys. J. **598**,102 (2003).
[8] D. J. Eisenstein et al., Astrophys. J. **633**, 560 (2005); D. N. Spergel et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.**170**, 377 (2007).
[9] S. V. Sushkov, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 103505 (2009).
[10] C. J. Gao, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **06**, 023 (2010).
[11] E. N. Saridakis and S.V. Sushkov, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 083510 (2010).
[12] C. Germani and A. Kehagias, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 011302 (2010).
[13] J. B. Dent, S. Dutta, E. N. Saridakis and J. Q, Xia, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.**11**, 058 (2013).
[14] S. Chen and J. Jing, Phys. Lett. B **691**, 254 (2010); Phys. Rev. D **82**,084006 (2010).
[15] M. Minamitsuji, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 064025 (2014); Phys. Rev. D **89**, 064017 (2014).
[16] A. Anabalon, A. Cisterna and J. Oliva, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084050 (2014).
[17] T. Kolyvaris, G. Koutsoumbas, E. Papantonopoulos and G. Siopsis, J. High Energy Phys.**11**,133 (2013).
[18] C. Germani, L. Martucci and P. Moyassari, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 103501 (2012).
[19] David Mattingly, Living Rev. Rel. **8**, 5 (2005).
[20] D. Colladay and P. McDonald, Phys. Rev. D **70**,

125007 (2004).
[21] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, Living Rev. Rel.**8**,12 (2005); arXiv: gr-qc/0505065.
[22] R. B. Mannt, L. Brasovt and A. Zelnikov, Class. Quantum Grav. **9**, 1487 (1992).